Docket No.: 17974

TC/A.U. 2611

Examiner: Ahn, Sam K.

REMARKS

Summary

Claims 1-4 and 7-48 stand in this application. Claims 5 and 6 were previously

canceled without prejudice. Although Applicant disagrees with the broad grounds of

rejection set forth in the Office Action, Applicant has amended claims 4, 7, 9, 16, 17, 19,

20, 25-28, 31, 34, 37, 42 and 46-48 in order to facilitate prosecution on the merits. No

new matter has been added. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the standing

claims are respectfully requested.

Allowed Claims

We would like to thank the Examiner for indicating the allowability of claims 1-3,

10-15 and 21-24.

Allowable Claims

We would also like to thank the Examiner for indicating the allowability of claims

4, 7-9, 16-20 and 25-48 if amended to overcome the § 112 rejections and/or claim

objections set forth in the Office Action. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims

have been amended to overcome the rejections and objections set forth in the Office

Action. Consequently, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the pending claims are

in condition for allowance.

13

Claim Objections

Claims 4, 7, 8, 16-20, 25 and 26-48 stand objected to based on informalities.

Applicant respectfully traverses the objections based on the above amendments and the

 $following\ remarks.\ Applicant\ submits\ that\ claims\ 4,7,16,17,19,20,25,28,31,37,42$

and 46 have been amended in accordance with the instructions in the Office Action, and

removal of the objections with respect to these claims is respectfully requested.

With respect to claim 8, Applicant submits that no amendment is necessary

because "LC components" are commonly known in the art and no further definition is

required for a clear understanding of the claim. Consequently, Applicant respectfully

requests withdrawal of the claim objections.

35 U.S.C. § 112

Claims 4, 7-9, 20, 26, 27, 34, 47 and 48 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112

for not particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the

applicant regards as his invention. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection based on

the above amendments. These claims have been amended in accordance with the Office

Action, and removal of the rejections is respectfully requested. Applicant further submits

that the above amendments are made to overcome the § 112 rejections and are not made

to overcome any references. Accordingly, these amendments should not be construed in

a limiting manner.

14

Appl. No. 10/606,080

Response Dated December 2, 2008

Reply to Notice of September 2, 2008

Conclusion

It is believed that claims 1-4 and 7-48 are in allowable form. Accordingly, a

timely Notice of Allowance to this effect is earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 724-933-9338 to discuss

any matter concerning this application.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any

overpayments under 37 C.F.R. \S 1.16 or \S 1.17 to the credit card in the previously filed

credit card authorization form.

Respectfully submitted,

KACVINSKY LLC

John F. Kacvinsky, Reg. No. 40,040

Docket No.: 17974

TC/A.U. 2611

Examiner: Ahn, Sam K.

Under 37 CFR 1.34(a)

Dated: December 2, 2008

Tyco Electronics Corporation 4550 New Linden Hill Road, Suite 140

Wilmington, Delaware 19808-2952